arXiv:1701.07251v2 [math.GR] 26 Jan 2017

Approximately Groups in Proximal Relator Spaces: An Algebraic View of Digital Images

Ebubekir İnan

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Adıyaman University, Adıyaman, Turkey.
Computational Intelligence Laboratory, Department of Electrical & Computer Engineering, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada.

einan@adiyaman.edu.tr

Abstract

The focus of this article is to define the descriptively approximations in proximal relator spaces. Afterwards, descriptive approximately algebraic structures such as groupoids, semigroups and groups in digital images endowed with descriptive Efremovič proximity relations were introduced.

Key Words: Approximately groups, Efremovič proximity, proximity spaces, relator space, descriptive approximations.
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 08A05, 68Q32, 54E05.

1. Introduction

In the concept of ordinary algebraic structures, such a structure that consists of a nonempty set of abstract points with one or more binary operations, which are required to satisfy certain axioms. For example, a groupoid is an algebraic structure $(A, \circ)$ consisting of a nonempty set A and a binary operation "$\circ$" defined on A [1]. In a groupoid, the binary operation "$\circ$" must be only closed in A, i.e., for all a, b in A, the result of the operation $a \circ b$ is also in A. As for the proximal relator space, the sets are composed of non-abstract points instead of abstract points. And these points describable with feature vectors in proximal relator spaces. Descriptively upper approximation of a nonempty set is obtained by using the set of points composed by the proximal relator space together with matching features of points. In the algebraic structures constructed on proximal relator spaces, the basic tool is consideration of descriptively upper approximations of the subsets of non-abstract points. In a groupoid A in proximal relator space, the binary operation "$\circ$" may be closed in descriptively upper approximation of A, i.e., for all a, b in A, $a \circ b$ is in descriptively upper approximation of A. In 2012 and 2014, İnan et al investigated similar view of this subject [2, 3, 4].

There are two important differences between ordinary algebraic structures and descriptive approximately algebraic structures. The first one is working with non-abstract points such as digital images while the second one is considering of descriptively upper approximations of the subsets of non-abstract points for the closeness of binary operations.

Essentially, the aim of this paper is to obtain algebraic structures such as descriptive approximately groupoids using sets and operations that ordinary are not

being algebraic structures. Moreover two examples with working on digital images endowed with descriptive proximity relation were given.

2. Preliminaries

A *relator* is a nonvoid family of relations $\mathcal{R}$ on a nonempty set X. The pair $(X, \mathcal{R})$ (also denoted $X(\mathcal{R})$) is called a relator space. Relator spaces are natural generalisations of ordered sets and uniform spaces [5]. With the introduction of a family of proximity relations on X, a proximal relator space $(X, \mathcal{R}_\delta)$ $(X(\mathcal{R}_\delta))$ was obtained. As in [6], $(\mathcal{R}_\delta)$ contains proximity relations, namely, Efremovič proximity δ [7, 8], Lodato proximity, Wallman proximity, descriptive proximity δ_Φ in defining $\mathcal{R}_{\delta_\Phi}$ [9, 10, 11].

Proximity space axiomatized by V. A. Efremovič in 1934 under the name of infinitesimal space and published in 1951. An Efremovič proximity δ is a relation on 2^X that satisfies

1^o $A \ \delta \ B \Rightarrow B \ \delta \ A$.
2^o $A \ \delta \ B \Rightarrow A \neq \emptyset$ and $B \neq \emptyset$.
3^o $A \cap B \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow A \ \delta \ B$.
4^o $A \ \delta \ (B \cup C)$ if and only if $A \ \delta \ B$ or $A \ \delta \ C$.
5^o $\{x\} \ \delta \ \{y\}$ if and only if $x = y$.
6^o EF axiom. $A \ \underline{\delta} \ B \Rightarrow \exists E \subseteq X$ such that $A \ \underline{\delta} \ E$ and $E^c \ \underline{\delta} \ B$.

Lodato proximity [12, 13, 14] swaps the EF axiom 2 for the following condition:

$$A \ \delta \ B \text{ and } \forall b \in B, \{b\} \ \delta \ C \Rightarrow A \ \delta \ C. \ (LodatoAxiom)$$

In this article, only two proximity relations, namely, the Efremovič proximity δ [8] and the descriptive proximity δ_Φ in defining a descriptive proximal relator space (denoted by $(X, \mathcal{R}_{\delta_\Phi})$) were used.

In a discrete space, a non-abstract point has a location and features that can be measured [15, §3]. Let X be a nonempty set of non-abstract points in a proximal relator space $(X, \mathcal{R}_{\delta_\Phi})$ and let $\Phi = \{\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n\}$ a set of probe functions that represent features of each $x \in X$. A *probe function* $\Phi : X \to \mathbb{R}$ represents a feature of a sample point in a picture. Let $\Phi(x) = (\phi_1(x), \ldots, \phi_n(x))$ denote a feature vector for x, which provides a description of each $x \in X$. To obtain a descriptive proximity relation (denoted by δ_Φ), one first chooses a set of probe functions. Let $A, B \in 2^X$ and $\mathcal{Q}(A), \mathcal{Q}(B)$ denote sets of descriptions of points in A, B, respectively. For example, $\mathcal{Q}(A) = \{\Phi(a) \mid a \in A\}$. The expression $A \ \delta_\Phi \ B$ reads A is descriptively near B. Similarly, $A \ \underline{\delta}_\Phi \ B$ reads A is descriptively far from B. The descriptive proximity of A and B is defined by

$$A \ \delta_\Phi \ B \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{Q}(A) \cap \mathcal{Q}(B) \neq \emptyset.$$

The relation δ_Φ is called a *descriptive proximity relation*. Similarly, $A \ \underline{\delta}_\Phi \ B$ denotes that A is descriptively far (remote) from B.

Object Description : $\Phi(x) = (\varphi_1(x), \varphi_2(x), \varphi_3(x), ..., \varphi_i(x), ..., \varphi_L(x))$.

The intuition underlying a description $\Phi(x)$ is a recording of measurements from sensors, where each sensor is modelled by a function φ_i.

Definition 1. *(Set Description,* [16]*) Let X be a nonempty set of non-abstract points, Φ an object description and A a subset of X. Then the set description of A*

is defined as

$$\mathcal{Q}(A) = \{\Phi(a) \mid a \in A\}.$$

Definition 2. *(Descriptive Set Intersection,* [16, 9]*) Let X be a nonempty set of non-abstract points, A and B any two subsets of X. Then the descriptive (set) intersection of A and B is defined as*

$$A \underset{\Phi}{\cap} B = \{x \in A \cup B \mid \Phi(x) \in \mathcal{Q}(A) \text{ and } \Phi(x) \in \mathcal{Q}(B)\}.$$

Definition 3. [17] *Let X be a nonempty set of non-abstract points, A and B any two subsets of X. If $\mathcal{Q}(A) \cap \mathcal{Q}(B) \neq \emptyset$, then A is called descriptively near B and denoted by $A\delta_\Phi B$.*

Definition 4. *(Descriptive Nearness Collections,* [17]*) Let X be a nonempty set of non-abstract points and A any subset of X. Then the descriptive nearness collection $\xi_\Phi(A)$ is defined by*

$$\xi_\Phi(A) = \{B \in \mathcal{P}(X) \mid A\delta_\Phi B\}.$$

Theorem 1. [17] *Let Φ be an object description, A any subset of X and $\xi_\Phi(A)$ a descriptive nearness collections. Then $A \in \xi_\Phi(A)$.*

3. Descriptively Approximations

Let $(X, \mathcal{R}_{\delta_\Phi})$ be descriptive proximal relator space and $A \subset X$, where A contains non-abstract objects. A descriptive closure of a point $a \in A$ is defined by

$$cl_\Phi(a) = \{x \in X \mid \Phi(a) = \Phi(x)\}.$$

Descriptively lower approximation of the set A begins by determining which descriptive closure $cl_\Phi(a)$ are subsets of *set description* $\mathcal{Q}(A)$. This discovery process leads to the construction of what is known as the descriptively lower approximation of $A \subseteq X$, which is denoted by $\Phi_* A$.

Definition 5. *(Descriptively Lower Approximation of a Set) Let $(X, \mathcal{R}_{\delta_\Phi})$ be descriptive proximal relator space and $A \subset X$. A descriptively lower approximation of A is defined as*

$$\Phi_* A = \{a \in A \mid cl_\Phi(a) \subseteq \mathcal{Q}(A)\}.$$

Definition 6. *(Descriptively Upper Approximation of a Set) Let $(X, \mathcal{R}_{\delta_\Phi})$ be descriptive proximal relator space and $A \subset X$. A descriptively upper approximation of A is defined as*

$$\Phi^* A = \{x \in X \mid x\delta_\Phi A\}.$$

Definition 7. *(Descriptively Boundary Region) Let $Bnd_\Phi A$ denote the descriptively boundary region of a set $A \subseteq X$ defined by*

$$\Phi_{Bnd} A = \Phi^* A \setminus \Phi_* A = \{x \mid x \in \Phi^* A \text{ and } x \notin \Phi_* A\}.$$

Lemma 1. *Let $(X, \mathcal{R}_{\delta_\Phi})$ be descriptive proximal relator space and $A, B \subset X$, then*
(i) $\mathcal{Q}(A \cap B) = \mathcal{Q}(A) \cap \mathcal{Q}(B)$,
(ii) $\mathcal{Q}(A \cup B) = \mathcal{Q}(A) \cup \mathcal{Q}(B)$.

Theorem 2. *Let $(X, \mathcal{R}_{\delta_\Phi})$ be descriptive proximal relator space and $A, B \subset X$, then the following statements hold.*

(1) $(\Phi_* A) \subseteq A \subseteq (\Phi^* A)$,
(2) $\Phi^*(A \cup B) = (\Phi^* A) \cup (\Phi^* B)$,
(3) $\Phi_*(A \cap B) = (\Phi_* A) \cap (\Phi_* B)$,
(4) If $A \subseteq B$, *then* $(\Phi_* A) \subseteq (\Phi_* B)$,
(5) If $A \subseteq B$, *then* $(\Phi^* A) \subseteq (\Phi^* B)$,
(6) $\Phi_*(A \cup B) \supseteq (\Phi_* A) \cup (\Phi_* B)$,
(7) $\Phi^*(A \cap B) \subseteq (\Phi^* A) \cap (\Phi^* B)$.

Proof. (1) Let $a \in (\Phi_* A)$, then $cl_\Phi(a) \subseteq \mathcal{Q}(A)$, where $a \in A$. Hence $(\Phi_* A) \subseteq A$. Let $a \in A$ and it is obvious that $\Phi(a) \in \mathcal{Q}(A)$, i.e. $\Phi(a) \cap \mathcal{Q}(A) \neq \emptyset$ and so $a \delta_\Phi A$. Therefore $a \in (\Phi^* A)$ and then $A \subseteq (\Phi^* A)$.

(2)

$$\begin{aligned}
x \in \Phi^*(A \cup B) &\Leftrightarrow x \delta_\Phi (A \cup B) \\
&\Leftrightarrow \Phi(x) \cap \mathcal{Q}(A \cup B) \neq \emptyset \\
&\Leftrightarrow \Phi(x) \in \mathcal{Q}(A \cup B), \text{ from Lemma 1} \\
&\Leftrightarrow \Phi(x) \in \mathcal{Q}(A) \cup \mathcal{Q}(B) \\
&\Leftrightarrow \Phi(x) \in \mathcal{Q}(A) \text{ or } \Phi(x) \in \mathcal{Q}(B) \\
&\Leftrightarrow \Phi(x) \cap \mathcal{Q}(A) \neq \emptyset \text{ or } \Phi(x) \cap \mathcal{Q}(B) \neq \emptyset \\
&\Leftrightarrow x \delta_\Phi (A) \text{ or } x \delta_\Phi (B) \\
&\Leftrightarrow x \in (\Phi^* A) \text{ or } x \in (\Phi^* B) \\
&\Leftrightarrow x \in (\Phi^* A) \cup (\Phi^* B).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\Phi^*(A \cup B) = (\Phi^* A) \cup (\Phi^* B)$.

(3)

$$\begin{aligned}
x \in \Phi_*(A \cap B) &\Leftrightarrow cl_\Phi(x) \subseteq \mathcal{Q}(A \cap B),\ x \in A \cap B, \text{ from Lemma 1} \\
&\Leftrightarrow cl_\Phi(x) \subseteq \mathcal{Q}(A) \cap \mathcal{Q}(B) \\
&\Leftrightarrow cl_\Phi(x) \subseteq \mathcal{Q}(A) \text{ and } cl_\Phi(x) \subseteq \mathcal{Q}(B) \\
&\Leftrightarrow x \in (\Phi_* A) \text{ and } x \in (\Phi_* B) \\
&\Leftrightarrow x \in (\Phi_* A) \cap (\Phi_* B).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\Phi_*(A \cap B) = (\Phi_* A) \cap (\Phi_* B)$.

(4) Let $A \subseteq B$, then $A \cap B = A$. From statement (3) we have $\Phi_* A = \Phi_*(A \cap B) = (\Phi_* A) \cap (\Phi_* B)$. Hence $(\Phi_* A) \subseteq (\Phi_* B)$.

(5) Let $A \subseteq B$, then $A \cup B = B$. From statement (2) we get $\Phi^* B = \Phi^*(A \cup B) = (\Phi^* A) \cup (\Phi^* B)$. This implies that $(\Phi^* A) \subseteq (\Phi^* B)$.

(6) Since $A \subseteq A \cup B$ and $B \subseteq A \cup B$, by (4) we have $(\Phi_* A) \subseteq \Phi_*(A \cup B)$ and $(\Phi_* B) \subseteq \Phi_*(A \cup B)$.

Hence $(\Phi_* A) \cup (\Phi_* B) \subseteq \Phi_*(A \cup B)$.

(7) We know that $A \cap B \subseteq A$ and $A \cap B \subseteq B$. From statement (5) we have $\Phi^*(A \cap B) \subseteq (\Phi^* A)$ and $\Phi^*(A \cap B) \subseteq (\Phi^* B)$.

Thus $\Phi^*(A \cap B) \subseteq (\Phi^* A) \cap (\Phi^* B)$. □

4. Approximately Groups in Descriptive Proximal Relator Spaces

Definition 8. *Let $(X, \mathcal{R}_{\delta_\Phi})$ be descriptive proximal relator space and let "$\cdot$" a binary operation defined on X. A subset G of the set of X is called a descriptive approximately groupoid in descriptive proximal relator space if $x \cdot y \in \Phi^* G$, for all $x, y \in G$.*

Definition 9. *Let $(X, \mathcal{R}_{\delta_\Phi})$ be descriptive proximal relator space and let "$\cdot$" a binary operation defined on X. A subset G of the set of X is called a descriptive approximately group in descriptive proximal relator space if the following properties are satisfied:*

($\mathcal{AG}_1$) *For all $x, y \in G$, $x \cdot y \in \Phi^* G$,*
($\mathcal{AG}_2$) *For all $x, y, z \in G$, $(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)$ property holds in $\Phi^* G$,*
($\mathcal{AG}_3$) *There exists $e \in \Phi^* G$ such that $x \cdot e = e \cdot x = x$ for all $x \in G$ (e is called the approximately identity element of G),*
($\mathcal{AG}_4$) *There exists $y \in G$ such that $x \cdot y = y \cdot x = e$ for all $x \in G$ (y is called the inverse of x in G and denoted as x^{-1}).*

A subset S of the set of X is called a *descriptive approximately semigroup* in descriptive proximal relator space if
($\mathcal{AS}_1$) $x \cdot y \in \Phi^* S$, for all $x, y \in S$ and
($\mathcal{AS}_2$) $(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)$ property holds in $\Phi^* S$, for all $x, y, z \in S$
properties are satisfied.

If descriptive approximately semigroup have an approximately identity element $e \in \Phi^* S$ such that $x \cdot e = e \cdot x = x$ for all $x \in S$, then S is called a *descriptive approximately monoid* in descriptive proximal relator space.

If $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$, for all $x, y \in S$ property holds in $\Phi^* G$, then G is *commutative descriptive approximately groupoid, semigroup, group or monoid* in descriptive proximal relator space.

Example 1. *Let X be a digital image endowed with descriptive proximity relation δ_Φ and consists of 25 pixels as in Fig. 1.*



FIGURE 1. Digital image

A pixel x_{ij} is an element at position (i, j) (row and column) in digital image X. Let ϕ be a probe function that represent RGB colour of each pixel are given in Table 1.

	Red	*Green*	*Blue*
x_{11}	204	204	204
x_{12}	51	153	255
x_{13}	204	255	255
x_{14}	204	204	204
x_{15}	51	153	255
x_{21}	0	102	153
x_{22}	102	255	255
x_{23}	0	102	153
x_{24}	0	102	102
x_{25}	204	204	204
x_{31}	204	204	204
x_{32}	0	51	255
x_{33}	0	102	102

	Red	*Green*	*Blue*
x_{34}	204	204	204
x_{35}	204	255	255
x_{41}	51	153	255
x_{42}	204	204	204
x_{43}	51	153	255
x_{44}	204	204	204
x_{45}	204	204	204
x_{51}	51	153	255
x_{52}	204	255	255
x_{53}	204	204	204
x_{54}	0	51	255
x_{55}	102	255	255

Table 1.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \cdot : X \times X &\longrightarrow X \\ (x_{ij}, x_{kl}) &\longmapsto x_{ij} \cdot x_{kl} = x_{pr},\ p = \min\{i,k\} \text{ and } r = \min\{j,l\} \end{aligned}$$

be a binary operation on X and $A = \{x_{21}, x_{22}, x_{32}, x_{33}\}$ a subimage (subset) of X.

We can compute the descriptively upper approximation of A by using the Definition 6. $\Phi^*A = \{x_{ij} \in X \mid x_{ij}\delta_\phi A\}$, where $\mathcal{Q}(A) = \{\phi(x_{ij}) \mid x_{ij} \in A\}$. Then $\phi(x_{ij}) \cap \mathcal{Q}(A) \neq \emptyset$ such that $x_{ij} \in X$. From Table 1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}(A) &= \{\phi(x_{21}), \phi(x_{22}), \phi(x_{32}), \phi(x_{33})\} \\ &= \{(0,102,153), (102,255,255), (0,51,255), (0,102,102)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get $\Phi^*A = \{x_{21}, x_{22}, x_{23}, x_{24}, x_{32}, x_{33}, x_{54}, x_{55}\}$ as shown in Fig. 2.



FIGURE 2. Φ^*A

Since

($\mathcal{AG}_1$) *For all $x_{ij}, x_{kl} \in A$, $x_{ij} \cdot x_{kl} \in \Phi^*A$,*

($\mathcal{AG}_2$) *For all $x_{ij}, x_{kl}, x_{mn} \in A$, $(x_{ij} \cdot x_{kl}) \cdot x_{mn} = x_{ij} \cdot (x_{kl} \cdot x_{mn})$ property holds in Φ^*A,*

($\mathcal{AG}_3$) *For all $x_{ij} \in A$, $x_{ij} \cdot x_{55} = x_{55} \cdot x_{ij} = x_{ij}$. Hence $x_{55} \in \Phi^*A$ is a approximately identity element of A,*

are satisfied, the subimage A of the image X is indeed a descriptive approximately monoid in descriptive proximity space (X, δ_Φ) with binary operation " $\cdot$ ". Also, since $x_{ij} \cdot x_{kl} = x_{kl} \cdot x_{ij}$, for all $x_{ij}, x_{kl} \in A$ property holds in Φ^*A, A is a commutative descriptive approximately monoid.



FIGURE 3. Digital image

Example 2. *Let X be a digital image endowed with descriptive proximity relation δ_Φ and consists of 36 pixels as in Fig.3.*

A pixel x_{ij} is an element at position (i, j) (row and column) in digital image X. Let ϕ be a probe function that represent RGB colour of each pixel are given in Table 2.

	Red	*Green*	*Blue*		*Red*	*Green*	*Blue*		*Red*	*Green*	*Blue*
x_{00}	174	117	255	x_{20}	145	205	230	x_{40}	170	255	255
x_{01}	145	205	230	x_{21}	100	168	255	x_{41}	174	117	255
x_{02}	120	222	255	x_{22}	145	205	230	x_{42}	170	255	255
x_{03}	98	134	172	x_{23}	174	117	255	x_{43}	80	130	230
x_{04}	100	168	255	x_{24}	170	255	255	x_{44}	100	168	255
x_{05}	145	205	230	x_{25}	80	130	230	x_{45}	145	205	230
x_{10}	98	134	172	x_{30}	120	222	255	x_{50}	80	130	230
x_{11}	80	130	230	x_{31}	170	255	255	x_{51}	120	222	255
x_{12}	100	168	255	x_{32}	145	145	230	x_{52}	100	168	255
x_{13}	170	255	255	x_{33}	98	134	172	x_{53}	145	205	230
x_{14}	145	145	230	x_{34}	120	222	255	x_{54}	80	130	230
x_{15}	120	222	255	x_{35}	100	168	255	x_{55}	98	134	172

Table 2.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \odot : X \times X &\longrightarrow X \\ (x_{ij}, x_{kl}) &\longmapsto x_{ij} \odot x_{kl} = x_{pr},\ i + k = p \bmod (5) \text{ and } j + l = r \bmod (5) \end{aligned}$$

be a binary operation on X and $B = \{x_{23}, x_{32}\}$ a subimage (subset) of X.

We can compute the descriptively upper approximation of B by using the Definition 6. $\Phi^* B = \{x_{ij} \in X \mid x_{ij} \delta_\phi B\}$, where $\mathcal{Q}(B) = \{\phi(x_{ij}) \mid x_{ij} \in B\}$. Then $\phi(x_{ij}) \cap \mathcal{Q}(B) \neq \emptyset$ such that $x_{ij} \in X$. From Table 2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}(B) &= \{\phi(x_{23}), \phi(x_{32})\} \\ &= \{(174, 117, 255), (145, 145, 230)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get $\Phi^* B = \{x_{23}, x_{41}, x_{00}, x_{32}, x_{14}\}$.

Since

($\mathcal{AG}_1$) *For all $x_{ij}, x_{kl} \in A$, $x_{ij} \odot x_{kl} \in \Phi^* B$,*

($\mathcal{AG}_2$) *For all $x_{ij}, x_{kl}, x_{mn} \in A$, $(x_{ij} \odot x_{kl}) \odot x_{mn} = x_{ij} \odot (x_{kl} \odot x_{mn})$ property holds in $\Phi^* B$,*

($\mathcal{AG}_3$) *For all $x_{ij} \in A$, $x_{ij} \odot x_{00} = x_{00} \odot x_{ij} = x_{ij}$. Hence $x_{00} \in \Phi^* B$ is a approximately identity element of B,*

($\mathcal{AG}_4$) *Since* $x_{32} \odot x_{23} = x_{23} \odot x_{32} = x_{00}$, $x_{32}^{-1} = x_{23}$ *and* $x_{23}^{-1} = x_{32}$, *i.e.,* x_{32}, x_{23} *are inverses of* x_{23}, x_{32}, *respectively.*

are satisfied, the subimage B *of the image* X *is indeed a descriptive approximately group in descriptive proximity space* (X, δ_Φ) *with binary operation "* $\odot$ *". Also, since* $x_{ij} \odot x_{kl} = x_{kl} \odot x_{ij}$, *for all* $x_{ij}, x_{kl} \in B$ *property holds in* $\Phi^* B$, B *is a commutative descriptive approximately group.*

Proposition 1. *Let* $(X, \mathcal{R}_{\delta_\Phi})$ *be descriptive proximal relator space and* $G \subseteq X$ *a descriptive approximately group.*

(1) There is one and only one approximately identity element in G.

(2) $\forall x \in G$, *there is only one* $y \in G$ *such that* $x \cdot y = y \cdot x = e$; *we denote it by* x^{-1}.

(3) $\left(x^{-1}\right)^{-1} = x$, *for all* $x \in G$.

(4) $(x \cdot y)^{-1} = y^{-1} \cdot x^{-1}$, *for all* $x, y \in G$.

Definition 10. *Let* G *be a descriptive approximately group and* H *a non-empty subset of* G. H *is called a descriptive approximately subgroup of* G *if* H *is a descriptive approximately group relative to the operation in* G.

There is only one guaranteed trivial descriptive approximately subgroup of G, i.e., G itself. Moreover, $\{e\}$ is a trivial descriptive approximately subgroup of G if and only if $e \in G$.

Theorem 3. *Let* G *be a descriptive approximately group,* H *a non-empty subset of* G *and* $\Phi^* H$ *a groupoid.* H *is a descriptive approximately subgroup of* G *if and only if* $x^{-1} \in H$ *for all* $x \in H$.

Proof. Suppose that H is a descriptive approximately subgroup of G. Then H is a descriptive approximately group and so $x^{-1} \in H$ for all $x \in H$. Conversely, suppose $x^{-1} \in H$ for all $x \in H$. From the hypothesis, since $\Phi^* H$ is a groupoid and $H \subseteq G$, then closure and associative properties hold in $\Phi^* H$. Also we get $x \cdot x^{-1} = e \in \Phi^* H$. Therefore H is a descriptive approximately subgroup of G. □

Theorem 4. *Let* G *be a descriptive approximately group and* H *a non-empty subset of* G. H *is a descriptive approximately subgroup of* G *if and only if* $Q(H) = Q(G)$.

Proof. It is obvious from Definition 6. □

Theorem 5. *Let* H_1 *and* H_2 *be two descriptive approximately subgroups of descriptive approximately group* G *and* $\Phi^* H_1$, $\Phi^* H_2$ *groupoids. If*

$$(\Phi^* H_1) \cap (\Phi^* H_2) = \Phi^* (H_1 \cap H_2),$$

then $H_1 \cap H_2$ *is a descriptive approximately subgroup of* G.

Proof. Let H_1 and H_2 be two descriptive approximately subgroups of G. It is obvious that $H_1 \cap H_2 \subset G$. Since $\Phi^* H_1$, $\Phi^* H_2$ are groupoids and $(\Phi^* H_1) \cap (\Phi^* H_2) = \Phi^* (H_1 \cap H_2)$, $\Phi^* (H_1 \cap H_2)$ is a groupoid. Consider $x \in H_1 \cap H_2$. Since H_1 and H_2 be two descriptive approximately subgroups, we have $x^{-1} \in H_1$, $x^{-1} \in H_2$, i.e., $x^{-1} \in H_1 \cap H_2$. Consequently, from Theorem 3 $H_1 \cap H_2$ is a descriptive approximately subgroup of G. □

Corollary 1. *In a descriptive proximity space, every proximal groups are descriptive approximately groups.*

References

[1] A. Clifford, G. Preston, The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1964, xv+224pp.

[2] E. İnan, M. Öztürk, Near groups on nearness approximation spaces, Hacet. J. Math. Stat. 41 (4) (2012) 545–558.

[3] E. İnan, M. Öztürk, Erratum and notes for near groups on nearness approximation spaces, Hacet. J. Math. Stat. 43 (2) (2014) 279–281.

[4] M. Öztürk, M. Uçkun, E. İnan, Near group of weak cosets on nearness approximation spaces, Fund. Inform. 133 (2014) 433–448.

[5] A. Száz, Basic tools and mild continuities in relator spaces, Acta Math. Hungar. 50 (1987) 177–201.

[6] J. Peters, Proximal relator spaces, Filomat 30 (2) (2016) 469–472.

[7] V. A. Efremovič, Infinitesimal spaces, Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.) 76 (1951) 341–343, *in Russian*.

[8] V. Efremovič, The geometry of proximity I (in Russian), Mat. Sb. (N.S.) 31(73) (1) (1952) 189–200.

[9] J. Peters, S. Naimpally, Applications of near sets, Notices of the Amer. Math. Soc. 59 (4) (2012) 536–542, dOI: `http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/noti817`.

[10] J. Peters, Near sets: An introduction, Math. in Comp. Sci. 7 (1) (2013) 3–9, dOI 10.1007/s11786-013-0149-6.

[11] J. Peters, E. İnan, M. Öztürk, Spatial and descriptive isometries in proximity spaces, General Mathematics Notes 21 (2) (2014) 1–10.

[12] M. Lodato, On topologically induced generalized proximity relations, Ph.D. thesis, Rutgers University, 1962.

[13] M. Lodato, On topologically induced generalized proximity relations i, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 15 (1964) 417–422.

[14] M. Lodato, On topologically induced generalized proximity relations ii, Pacific J. Math. 17 (1966) 131–135.

[15] M. Kovár, A new causal topology and why the universe is co-compact, arXive:1112.0817[math-ph] (2011) 1–15`arXiv:1112.0817`.

[16] S. Naimpally, J. Peters, Topology with Applications. Topological Spaces via Near and Far, World Scientific, Sinapore, 2013.

[17] J. Peters, Near sets: An introduction, Math. in Comp. Sci. 7 (1) (2013) 3–9.